RD 36-98

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1897 (L R.)

File No. 52.

Serial Nos. 6.

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—6.

Part B for September 1898

SUBJECT.

Appropriation of Gomal land for Coffee Cultivation.

Issued

Commenced

W D 33—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

Note

Ref - Karaikal Diary's no 721 & 19-1-98

This is an application for Communal land survey No 315 (54-4) in the Vétiveri village, Karaikal for habitation purposes and cultivation.

The villagers object to the grant of the land on the ground that it is used as a grazing place for their flock & cattle and that its grant would put them to inconvenience; but this stated that their objection is untenable as the remaining extent of communal land is sufficient for grazing purposes.

It is reported that on a part of the land there is a stream known as Vettiaru; it is not useful for irrigation purposes; it flows into the Karaikal South Canal and there empties itself in to the sea. The Karaikal Tahsildar is not willing to leave 33 ft margin on the border as it is in the east & to cattle in the west side. and the Deputy Commr is also of opinion that there is no necessity to reserve 33 yards from the borders of the stream.

The land seems to contain a large no (830) of reserved & unreserved kind of trees valued at Rs 269. It is reported that the surroundings of the land are not suitable reserved for forest purposes as it lies on the east of the main road, &

Head-General Office
estate on the north & South-
ern Coast belonging to Nonsuch
and Kyanuen and Millwayo.
The land does not seem to have
been inspected by any Forest
Officer. The Deputy Commr.
recommends the grant of the
land for Office Situation
subject to usual provisions for
reservation of timber.

JB
31/1/93

1. Vide entries sur: no: applied for.
2. The sketch map submitted does not fully show the position of the land with reference to all the surrounding sur: nos: The Kalka forming the western boundary of the land appears to be a large one. The village map may be called for.
3. No: Borong on personal inspection of the land ordered that 50 yards from the border of the stream must be left untouched, otherwise the Darkhast being refused. (Paper serial no: 10).
4. The Darkhast was rejected & an endorsement given to Applicant who is a resident of Koolapat.
5. The applicant then addressed the D.C. who thought that it was not necessary to leave the margin of 50 yards. Paper no 1

6. From the no. & description of trees given in the formal statement, the land appears to be a tract containing valuable timber and yet no Forest Officer has inspected it (vide para 2 of App E to the L. Rev: Rules).

7. The Dy Comr quotes a Case & calls it to be similar to the present one. The refs: in that Case have been put up. From the Dy Comrs remarks in the Eng: formal statement it is clear that the streams therein referred to flowed only during the rainy season. The no: of trees was limited & the Special Forest Officer and the Conservator of Forests had no objection.

8 In the recent Govt Order No. 6172-81 dated 34-96 14th Dec 1897, it is required that in all future grants, Forest Rule 19 be enforced as strictly as desirable & reservations made on a liberal scale - (Please

(S. No 32)

re file putup.) 4

Submitted to secretary
for orders
1/2/98

Secd Br. 1st Br.

I have received a [illegible]
case in which the Govt.
have intervened to consult
Reconsideration of the
matter does not appear
to be necessary at present
2-2-98

Rotn.
2.2.98.

Draft
recd
1/2/98
Comments
Front Draft.
Alignment with
- Parliament
Publication.
Secd
3.2.98

Draft Sub 2.
5/2/98

Office note Cont'd

In this office docket No 2630 / R734-96 dated 12th Feby 1898 the Conservator of Forests was requested to submit his opinion as to whether the land in question which contained a large No. of trees might be granted for Office cultivation.

No. 3865-3 dated 31st May 163

2. On a reference by the Conservator of Forests, the Dy Comnr, Kapas District in Circle Sept to the District Forest Officer's letter No 316 dated 31st May 1898, submitting his opinion on the subject recommended that in the circumstances as there represented the land might be granted for the land in question subject to the usual conditions and stated that his recommendation for the grant of the land was based on the reports received from the Foresters and the Asstt Conservator

Dy Comnr's No 2056 R. 21-6-98

in

in Charge of the transfer - proceedings stating that there were no valuable trees on the said land.

3. The District Forest Officer in his letter, referred to above stated that he inspected the land in ~~June~~ January, that it formed part of a large jungle, rich in trees of reserved & unreserved kinds and bamboos and situated at about 6 miles from Sakkajan on the Rowdale; that it was there that he saw Collecting timber & bamboos in preference to that tract, that the boundary applied for by the applicant Chennabasanagya was situated on the South of Yethmandle, the whole piece lying within 3 to 4 yards from the edge of water, that the valuation given by the Settlement is less than nominal, that the land in question contained

a large quantities of bamboos decided a No. of Mule of Rates, lumber trees and that in the greater portion of the jungle being an impenetrable thicket it was not possible to count the different kinds of trees, and therefore expressed as his opinion that the land had better be reserved for the Forest Department.

No. 1502/S 24th June 1884

The Conservator of Forests recommends that the Sir Creek portion of land in question may be reserved, and that an order be passed to the effect that in all such matters the District Forest Officer opinion be obtained and submitted through the Conservator of Forests as prescribed in Govt. Pro: No. 5334-49 of 195 15th December 1883 neglect of which only causes delay and possibly loss to Government.

Submitted for orders.

Rule 2 of the Rules relating to

1

the grant of Government land
for Office or Residence purposes (vide appendix E of the Rules)
requires that "the land applied
for when more than 50 acres in
extent shall be inspected by a
higher Revenue Officer and
when situated in a valuable
timber tract by the Forest Officer".
Even when the area is below
50 acres District Forest Officers
are generally consulted by the
Collectors and their opinion
taken before grant of land for Office
or other purposes. This being so,
it is not understood why the Collectors
should be asked to submit proposals
for the grant of lands through the
Conservator of Forests. Para 5 of
Govt. Proc: No. 5334-R3/195 of 15th
December 95 relied upon by the
Conservator refers to Forest matters
& not to Revenue.

Submitted to Secretary
12/7/95
RD 7.15 Pm
15.7.95.

11
13/7/95

Agree with above. As the
land is covered with good
forest I would suggest
that it should be considered as
a Reserve. The Conservator
is the best person to
advise in the matter.
Grants of land for
Office purposes should
be made by the Collector
after consulting the
Forest Officer in charge
of the area.

[illegible]
24.7.95

Gl. No. 5203
R 3149

LEGISLATIVE DEPT. 1897

40

From A 38

The Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan *District.*

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore
General and Revenue Depts.,
Bangalore.

Dated 19 January 1898
Despatched
Received

No. 721

Enclosure No. 1 & M

SUBJECT.

Submitting for sanction an application for ~~a portion of land out of~~ Gomal Survey No. 3/5 attached to the Village of Kallenpur Hobli ..... Manjarabad Taluk ..... for Coffee ..... Cultivation purposes.

Mrs
Rs 25
21.1.98
Send with draft
Rs 25/12
Copy to be taken
28/1

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,

Hassan DISTRICT.

No 721

The 19th January 1898

From

B. G. Krishniengar, Esq.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan *District,*

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

I have the honor to submit herewith a statement showing the particulars of Gomal Land applied for for cultivation in the Hebbacale Village, Sakleshpur Hobli Manjarabad Taluk, and to request that sanction may be accorded to the same for reasons stated in the Remarks column of the statement.

2. The vernacular papers ending with Manjarabad ... No. 2 dated 18th December 1897 are herewith submitted for reference and kind return.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner.

Hassan

Statement showing the particulars of Gomal Land applied for for Coffee cultivation purposes in the Village of Hebbarale

Sakleshpur Hobli Mavinakere Taluk Hasan District

Taluk	Hobli	Village	Area of land under occupation in the village		Area of assessed unoccupied waste land		Total extent of Gomal land in the village			Details relating to portion applied for for cultivation, building, or other purposes					Number of cattle in the village	Remarks as to whether the remaining extent of gomal land is sufficient for grazing purposes, and whether villagers have any objection to the grant of the land applied for, and the ground of their objection if any
							Survey No.	Extent		Survey No.	Extent		Trees thereon				
													Description	Approximate number of trees	Estimated value		
1	2	3	4		5		6	6		8	9		10	11	12	13	14
			Acres	G	Acres	G		Acres	G		Acres	G			Rs.		
Mavinakere	Sakleshpur	Hebbarale	1158	11	240	34	68	19	21	315	34	4	Honne tree	50	50	456	The land applied for for coffee cultivation is bounded to the north by [illegible] northern and southern sides a stream by name Yattina Hole which is said to be not useful for irrigation purposes and such there is no necessity reserving 50 ft from the bank of the stream (vide sketch on in the enclosed file). The villagers objection to the grant is untenable as the remaining extent of gomal land is sufficient for grazing purposes. Sanction was accorded in a similar case in Gorur vide No 3218-2 dated 24th Sept 1897. In the case under reference sanction may be accorded to the grant of the land for coffee cultivation.
							224	0	16				Nandi tree	20	10		
							235	32	3				Mathi tree	200	50		
							257	30	27				Halasu tree	20	5		
							201	66	14				Hoova tree	10	50		
							264	35	9				Neelu tree	100	25		
							247	19	20				Bilvara	10	2		
							266	56	8				Karibasare	100	25		
							290	22	5				Bilibasare	20	2		
							301	201	37				Bidaru group 3	100	25		
							309	82	16				Some miscellaneous trees	200	26		
							315	34	4					830	269		
							317	57	26								
							319	94	20								
							323	71	29								
							327	24	15								
							341	"	11								
							379	10	36								
								859	37								

Hasan Dated 19th January 1898

for Deputy Commissioner
Hasan 19/1/98

Draft letter order

41

To

The Conservator of

Dated 12/2/95

No 7630.

RF 34-96.

In forwarding herewith in original letter No 21 dated 11 January 1895 from the Deputy Commissioner of the Nasik District, soliciting sanction to the appropriation to Office cultivation, of gomal survey No 315 measuring 34 acres and 4 guntas in the Hebbasale village Satara Taluk, I am to request the Conservator of Forests will be so good as to favour forwarding

with

with his application to
[illegible] relation to the
land in question -
Whereas it has been represented
to me by the Government Forest
Office cultivation [illegible]
of Contains a large number
- less of seedlings and
undergrowth fluid trees.

By Order

[illegible]

7/2/98

#3
5/2/98

5/2/98 Secretary

for land out of Sonal
for coffee cultivation.
May be transferred to the
Rev Branch Yes.

4/
1/7/98

2/7/98

Sd/ Illegible.

5/7. Sd/ illegible Copy of Rec: No 5331—98
dated 30-6-98 received. Sd/ illegible.

4/7/98

Secretary
Mysore Residency

12/7/98

57.

R 5641

1898

From

The Conservator of Forests in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to Government,
General and Revenue Departments

Dated 24th June 1898

Despatched 25th

Received

General No. 5621 & 3 + 1 file
No. 364

SUBJECT

Reference:— No 7630 dated 30-96
12th February last re grant of certain land for coffee cultivation.

Intimates concurrence in the opinion of the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan, that the dharkast for the land may be rejected.

Rev 29-6-98

Rec'd: 4 PM
30/6/98

Rev Dept.
Subject: This application is

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
No. 961
JUN 29 1898
DESPATCH OFFICE

OFFICE OF THE

CONSERVATOR OF FORESTS IN MYSORE

Rev. Forests.

No. 572 [illegible] Dated [illegible] June 1898.

From

COLONEL I. CAMPBELL WALKER,

Conservator of Forests in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

In returning the file of papers received with your No. 3780/20 dated 12th February last, I have the honour to forward copy of Deputy Commissioner's No. 2654 dated 21st June and its enclosures regarding [illegible] of Rs. 24 passed by Deputy Amildar of Nelluvanda village, Hunsur-Taluk, for cattle confiscated and also copy of my No. 3865/163 of 16th February to which this is a reply.

2. I would beg to say that I have no remarks to offer regarding the statements of Deputy and Assistant Commissioners, but I agree with the Deputy Commissioner in recommending the grant, and that of the Assistant Forest Officer in which the Deputy Commissioner

2/

now recommend that the Petitioners be allowed in question be repaid. Expenses the Cause proposed.

3. That already suggested to the Executive Commissioner that in the case of Texas the Petitioners should in all matters be allowed and treated as representing the Application to Government. That Government may be pleased to transmit to Texas to their Office and also to communicate all such correspondence through the Commissioner of Texas. In pursuance of Government Letter No 5334-48 dated 15th December 1888 (copies of which only were delivered to Petitioners) Government

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Mayhew Wardell

Petitioners Solicitor

Commissioner of Texas.

1898.

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kassa District

To

The Commissioner of Excise
in Mysore.

Dated 21st June 1898.

C. No: 2054
Mis

With reference to his letter
No. 3865/163 dated the 17th
February last forwarding copy
of Government Excise
Secretary's No. 4630/K.E.3496 dated
12th February ult, with its
enclosures for communicating
the opinion of the District
Excise Officer regarding the
advisability of selling outright
the Government Survey No. 315
in the Nellimarla village
Vizagapatam District, for the
removal to Jammalamadugu
the District Excise Office
Cutcherry No: 316 of the 31st
March and to state their
views thereon.

Referred

recommended, the Abandonment
of the land may be rejected.

2. The Commissioner in charge
of the Rajpipla Block
having reported that there were
no available trees on the area,
the previous recommendation
was submitted to Government.

3. The Collector, Surat,
is requested to move
in the matter.

(Signed) B. E. Kristiansen
Dy. Commissioner
N. Range Division

Copy

Superintendent Calicut

Conservator of Forests.

WK

Rev. Forests

OFFICE OF THE

CONSERVATOR OF FORESTS IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

No. 3865
163

Dated 15th February 1898.

From

COLONEL I. CAMPBELL WALKER,
Conservator of Forests in Mysore,

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District.

SIR,

I am enclosing copy of letter & Revenue enclosure from the Government Revenue Secretary No. 4630 / R. L. 34-96 of 12th January with enclosure in original (your No. 7201/97-8 dated) for disposal. Should you require any further information in the matter.

2. It does not follow that because a tract of land is situated in an irrigated area that land should be assessed at very low rates. The applicant proposes to pay a fair price for it in addition to the usual assessment on the land.

3. I would suggest that in all such cases

Taxes in future, the district of the District
of Taxes shall be chosen and records of those
subscribing the officers in Government in
which will taxes due in the long run. Under
record or the District Taxes office
used and signed each officer himself the
may Delegate the duty to a Range Officer
which were in the

Yours &c.
(Signed) J. Campbell Walker Esq.
Commissioner of Taxes.

Copy

Lieutenant Colonel
Commissioner of Taxes.

JWB

Commissioner's Records
No. 5 Folio 102
A. 185
Taxes 24th
December 3[illegible]

Letter to be returned to the Forest Department.

3. The file of correspondence is herewith returned

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient
Servant
Signed A. A. Boyle Roe
Dist Forest
Officer Hassan

Copy

Deputy Commissioner
Hassan Dist

1897.

From The District Forest Officer Hassan

To The Deputy Commissioner Hassan Dist

Dated 31st May 1898

Despatched

Received

General No. 316
No.

Enclosure No. 1 to

Sir

With reference to Conservator's letter No. 3865/108 dated the 16th/17th February 1898, forwarding for my opinion an application for Coffee cultivation of Hebsale Gomal, I have the honor to state that I inspected the land on the 4th instant. The land

is

is part of a large jungle rich in trees of reserved and unreserved kinds and bamboos, situated at about 6 miles from La Kleapur on the roadside. It is here that I am also collecting timber and bamboos in reference to Land & Forests suit exploitation of timber from that forests is at present too costly and since timber in District Jungles is unprotected and subject to smuggling and theft especially in the Malnad Talooks.

2 The locality applied for by Channa Basavanya is situated on the bank of Yettinahalla, the whole trees lying within 50 yards from the edge of water. The value given by the Karar is less than nominal for the bamboos that I have collected here fetch Rs 14 per 100 on the spot, the working charges being Rs 2/- Of these bamboos, there is a large number in the land applied for besides a number of Honne and other timber trees. The greater portion of this Jungle being an impenetrable thicket, it was not possible to count the different kinds of trees. I beg to advise that the land had

Draft a/c/ Orders

43

Read:

Letter No [illegible] dated [illegible]
January 1898 from the Dep
Commissioner, Kaira and Distric
Soliciting sanction to the ap
-propriation for Office sanc
-tion of Joint survey No 6/1
a g
measuring 3 1/4 - 1/4 in the
Nadiad Village, Kha
-pur Doli, Umreth Taluka
& also letter No 5021/364
dated 16 June 1898 from
the Director of Agri
-culture submitting his
opinion on the subject.

No 980-81 / R.4.34-96 dated 5/8/98

Order thereon.

The Deputy Com
-missioner recommends
for the grant of the land
in question for Office sanc
-tion having been
referred to the Director
-tor of Agriculture for opinion
that Officer submits opi
-nion to the Government
of Bombay from the District
Divisional Officer and the

Deputy Commissioner, from which it now appears that the land in question forms part of a large jungle rich in trees, that the Grant applied for is situated on the bank of Petauniste, the whole piece lying within 5 yards from the edge of water and that the valuation given by the Settlement is ~~[illegible]~~ correct.

The Deputy Commissioner also in his letter to the Senator of Treats states that under the circumstances represented by the Forest Officer, the Settlement for the land may be rejected, his previous recommendation having been submitted to you on the report received from the Assistant and the Assistant Commissioner in charge of the Mangaldai Tahsil to the effect that there were no valuable trees on the land.

I

The Conservator agrees
in the Deputy Commissioners
present proposal.

2. Under the foregoing
circumstances, the Parties
so rejected, with the recom-
-mendation that, there is such
of valuable land being
given away if District
Officer Mianwali
-siders recommendatory
as it would advance the cause
in the present case
-tion concerning the, rec-
-ferable Executive.

29-7-98.

28/7/98 28/7/98

1) The Dy Comm^r Mianwali
District is to direct
2) The Conservator of
Forests -

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 1153
AUG 16 1898
SECRETARIAT OFFICE
Bangalore

44

95-99

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

1143

To The Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore Rev & Genl
Depart

SC 5

Dated Camp Kumbalpode
14th August 1898

Despatched

Received

General No.

D No. 196

Enclosure No. -

Requesting to be informed
whether Gomal lands
should be given for Culti-
vation in Consultation
with the Conservator of
Forests

Dupl Orders.

Submitted for orders.
Attention is respectfully invited to the Price note of 12th July 93.

19/8/98

In Copy of the Entire proceedings sent to the Dy Comr for his & guidance - M 19/8/98

Mr
Secretary
Govt
26/8

Mr
Nov
16/8/98

Mr Secretary Govt
26/8

Mr Conservator of Forests
Mr Dy Commissioner Bangalore
Mr Dy Commissioner Kadur
Mr Dy Commissioner Hassan
Mr Dy Commissioner Shimoga
Mr Dy Commissioner Chitaldrug
Mr Dy Commissioner Tumkur
Mr Dy Commissioner Kolar
Mr Dy Commissioner Mysore
Mr Dy Commissioner Kadur
Mr Dy Commissioner Hassan
Mr Dy Commissioner Shimoga
Mr Dy Commissioner Chitaldrug
Mr Dy Commissioner Tumkur
Mr Dy Commissioner Kolar
Mr Dy Commissioner Mysore

I am directed to forward herewith a copy of the letter noted in the margin from the Dy Commissioner Bangalore District and to request a copy of the orders passed thereon.

26/8/98

No. 196

Bangalore District
Deputy Commissioner's Office
Camp Kumbalgod, the 4th
August 1898.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Revenue & Famine Dept.

Sir,

The Deputy Commissioner of Hassan having solicited the sanction of Government to the alienation for cultivation of a certain Gomal land, the Government appears to have passed order No 980-81 R.I 84-96 of the 5th August 1898 rejecting the application. Only a copy of para 2 of that order has been sent to me by the Conservator of Forests for information and guidance

with his Memo No 620-7/18 of the 11th August 1895. The extract sent merely goes to show that the recommendation made by the Madras Deputy Commissioner was ill-considered and that it lacked without consulting the responsible forest officer. I beg to know if by this, it is meant that all recommendations for the grant of forest land for cultivation should be done in consultation with the Conservator of Forests.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District

1898 (4)

No. 1691 / RJ 34-96 dt 1-9-98

dl 44 Draft reply to Govt.

To The Dep^y Cons. Bangalore

~~Read~~ His letter ~~Letter~~ No. 95 dated 14th August 1898 ~~from the Deputy Commr Kanara~~ ~~for District~~ soliciting instructions as to whether all recommendations for the grant of forest lands for cultivation should be in consultation with the Conservator of Forests. ~~Received in June last~~

Govt Proc^s No. 980-81 RJ 34-96 dated 5th August 1898

~~Order thereon~~

With reference to the above he has the honor to inform the Dy Cons ~~Government Order~~ that the orders conveyed in the Proceedings cited does not apply to all forest lands but only to lands of the kind alluded to therein.

Copies of the ~~Correspondence~~

1 No. 721 dt 19-1-98 from the Dy Cons Kanara (with copy & enclos.)

2 This office No. 630 dt 12-2-98 to the Conservator of Forests. R.7.34-96

3 No. 5621/364 dt 24-6-98 from Do. (with copies & enclos.)

4 Govt Pro. No. 980-81 R7.34-96 dt 5-8-98.

x marginally noted

to the case referred to in the said Petn are forwarded for ~~further~~ information ~~and~~ ~~direction~~.

28/8/90

for Secy

To

The Dy Comm^r, Bauria

— Core District